

5-30-1949

## Handwritten Notes for Minsky's PhD Thesis without title

Hyman P. Minsky Ph.D.

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm\\_archive](http://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive)

 Part of the [Macroeconomics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Minsky, Hyman P. Ph.D., "Handwritten Notes for Minsky's PhD Thesis without title" (1949). *Hyman P. Minsky Archive*. 490.  
[http://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm\\_archive/490](http://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive/490)

This Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College at Bard Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hyman P. Minsky Archive by an authorized administrator of Bard Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@bard.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@bard.edu).

for firm

$$\underline{I\sigma} = \frac{\Delta W + p_I I}{I}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{\Delta W}{I} + p_I$$

$$\underline{I\sigma} = p_2 g_2 - p_1 g_1 = \underline{\Delta \text{Costs} + \text{profit}}$$

$$\underline{I\sigma} = \Delta R = \Delta \text{Recept.} - \Delta \text{costs.}$$

$$\Delta \text{costs} = \Delta W + p_I I$$

$$\underline{I\sigma} = \Delta W + p_I I + M$$

$$\boxed{\sigma = \frac{\Delta W}{I} + p_I + M}$$

if  $\Delta W =$  all due to  $\Delta L$ .

if  $\Delta W$  is due to  $\Delta p$ :

which means  $(L + \Delta L)(p + \Delta p)$

$$\boxed{\underline{I\sigma} = \Delta X^*} \neq \text{as } X \text{ and } X^* \text{ both measure.}$$

+ then seen  $\sigma$  to give us a  
nat. v as a part of  $\underline{\Delta X^*}$  ?

Material for 2<sup>nd</sup> part:

e.g. Essential Theory

They dealing with  
consideration of

I by means of  
different I's

Outline becomes something like this:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 2a) H. of Terms<br>b) Essential Theory | <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) <u>Introduct to problem.</u></li><li>2) <u>Essential Theory.</u></li><li>3) <u>the 1867-1939 Dev. + 1923-29 if possible</u></li><li>4) <u>Theory continues:</u></li><li>5) <u>Consumption End.</u></li><li>6) <u>2nd Factor Amendment &amp; Nat. Income.</u></li><li>7) <u>Public Policy:</u></li></ol> |
|--|--|





Now if we assume - a truly Lewis assumption - that  $\beta$  is the ratio of ~~technique~~ income to capital at the old technique:

$$Y = \beta K.$$

$$\Delta Y = I_T + I_r(\sigma - \beta) = I_T - I_r\beta$$

$$\frac{\Delta Y}{Y} = \frac{I_T \sigma - I_r \beta}{K \beta}$$

Def:  $\frac{\Delta Y}{Y} = g_w = \text{growth rate}.$

$$g = \frac{I_T}{K} \frac{\sigma}{\beta} - \frac{I_r}{K}$$

assume  $g = \text{historical } 3\%$ .

use Keynes's figure

$$\begin{array}{l} 393 \\ \frac{I_T}{K} = \frac{10}{300} = \frac{1}{30} \\ \frac{I_r}{K} = \frac{6}{300} = \frac{1}{50} \end{array}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{0.3}{100} + \frac{1}{50} \right\} \frac{5}{\frac{1}{30}} = \frac{1.5}{100} = 1.5\%$$

assume  $\frac{I_T}{K} = \frac{10}{300}$  (e.g. 3% rate)

$$\frac{I_r}{K} =$$

Using the table on page 40 of the  
president's report we have.

III

assuming that  $\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} = 1.5$ .

---

$$g = \frac{I_T}{K} 1.5 - \frac{I_r}{K} ; \text{ using } K \text{ as } 300 \text{ Billions}$$

we have.

$$39) g = \frac{10}{300} \cdot 1.5 - \frac{7}{300} = \frac{8}{300} = \underline{2.67\%}$$

$$44) g = \frac{34}{300} \cdot 1.5 - \frac{9.1}{300} = \frac{6}{300} - \frac{9}{300} = \underline{-1\%}$$

$$46) g = \frac{27}{300} \cdot 1.5 - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{40\frac{1}{2}}{300} - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{31.5}{300} = \underline{10.5\%}$$


---

$$\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} = 2$$

$$39) g = \frac{10}{300} \cdot 2 - \frac{7}{300} = \frac{13}{300} = 4.03$$

$$44) g = \frac{4}{300} \cdot 2 - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{-1}{300} = -0.33\%$$

$$46) g = \frac{27}{300} \cdot 2 - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{54}{300} - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{45}{300} = 15\%$$


---

$$\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} = 1$$

$$39) g = \frac{10}{300} - \frac{7}{300} = \frac{3}{300} = 1\%$$

$$44) g = \frac{4}{300} - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{-5}{300} = -1.66$$

$$46) g = \frac{27}{300} - \frac{9}{300} = \frac{18}{300} = 6\%$$

growth table:

115

$\frac{I}{Y}$	<del>1</del>	1.5	2.0
	gw		

	growth		
$\frac{I}{Y}$	37 $I_T = 10$ $I_R = 7$	44 $I_T = 1$ $I_R = 9$	46 $I_T = 27$ $I_R = 7$
1	17%	-1.66	6%
1.5	2.67%	-17%	10.5%
2.0	4.03%	-0.3%	15%

However this is assuming that all investment is <sup>equally</sup> productive. If investment abroad is considered to yield  $I_x r$ , where  $I_x$  is the quantity invested abroad and  $r$  is the rate of interest on foreign loans the above can be recalculated as follows.

$$I_T = I_n + I_R + I_x$$

$$\Delta Y = I_n \sigma + I_R (\sigma - \delta) + I_x r$$

$$Y = \delta K + K_x r$$

$$\frac{\Delta Y}{Y} = g = \frac{I_n \sigma + I_R (\sigma - \delta) + I_x r}{\delta K + K_x r}$$

$$g = \frac{I_n}{Y} \sigma + \frac{I_R}{Y} (\sigma - \delta) + \frac{I_x}{Y} r$$



assume  $\sigma = 30\%$

$\phi = 20\%$

$t = 10\%$

$I_n = \phi \phi = \frac{1}{12} \gamma$

$I_r = \frac{1}{2} I_n$

$\phi = \frac{1}{12}$ , of which  $\frac{1}{2}$  is abroad,  $\frac{1}{4}$  each at home  
num prod.

$\frac{I_n}{\gamma} t = \frac{1}{24} \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{240} = \frac{4}{960}$

$\frac{I_n}{\gamma} \sigma = \frac{1}{48} \times \frac{3}{10} = \frac{3}{480} = \frac{6}{960}$

$\frac{I_r(\sigma-\phi)}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{96} \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{960} = \frac{1}{960}$

$\Sigma = \frac{11}{960} = 1.1$

$\phi = \frac{1}{12}$  of which  $\frac{1}{2}$  is at home.

$\frac{1}{4}$  each num prod. + eff.

$\frac{I_n}{\gamma} \sigma = \frac{1}{24} \times \frac{3}{10} = \frac{3}{240}$

$I_r = \frac{1}{2} I_n$

$\frac{I_n}{\gamma} t = \frac{1}{48} \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{480}$

$\frac{I_r(\sigma-\phi)}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{48} \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{480}$

$\frac{8}{480} = 1.5$

$I_r = I_n$

$\sigma = 15$   $\frac{I_r(\sigma-\phi)}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{24} \times \frac{15}{100} = \frac{1}{160} = \frac{3}{480}$

$\frac{10}{480} = 2.1$